arXiv:1109.4762v1 [math.NT] 22 Sep 2011

# The number of abc - equations $c^n = a + b$ satisfying the strong abc - conjecture

Constantin M. Petridi
cpetridi@hotmail.com

**Abstract.** We prove for the number $N(c, n)$ of equations $c^n = a + b$, $(a, b, c, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4$, $a < b$, $(a, b) = 1$, satisfying, for any given $\varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, the strong abc - conjecture

$$c^n < R(c)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} R(a)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} R(b)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}},$$

that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N(c,n)}{\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}} = 1.$$

## 1 Introduction

In our paper [1] we proved that, for any given $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$N(c) = \#\Big\{ c < R(c)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} R(a_i b_i)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}, \ (a_i, b_i, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3,$$

$$c = a_i + b_i, \ a_i < b_i, \ (a_i, b_i) = 1; \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\phi(c)}{2} \Big\}$$

is equal to $(1-\varepsilon)\frac{\phi(c)}{2} + O(\frac{\phi(c)}{2})$ for $c \to \infty$. As usual $R(c)$ is the radical $p_1 p_2 \ldots p_\omega$ of $c$, $Q(c) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)\ldots(p_\omega - 1)$ and $\phi(c)$ is the Euler function.

In the present paper we restrict the equations to run only over the powers $c^n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$. For the corresponding number

$$N(c, n) = \#\Big\{ c^n < R(c)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} R(a_i b_i)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}, \ (a_i, b_i, c, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4,$$

$$c^n = a_i + b_i, \ a_i < b_i, \ (a_i, b_i) = 1; \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\phi(c^n)}{2} \Big\}$$

we prove the stronger result

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N(c,n)}{\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}} = 1.$$

The method used is based on our paper [2], where a lower bound and an upper (trivial) bound are given for the geometric mean of the radicals $R(ca_i b_i)$

of the $\frac{\phi(c)}{2}$ equations $c = a_i + b_i,\ 0 < a_i < b_i\ (a_i, b_i) = 1;\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\phi(c)}{2}$, namely

$$\kappa_\varepsilon\ R(c)^{1-\varepsilon}\, c^2\ <\ \Big\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{\phi(c)}{2}} R(ca_ib_i) \Big\}^{\frac{2}{\phi(c)}}\ <\ R(c)c^2, \tag{1}$$

with $\kappa_\varepsilon$ an absolute positive constant, effectively computable, depending only on $\varepsilon > 0$.

## 2 Limit Theorem

**Theorem.** For $(a_i, b_i, c, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4$ and any given $\varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, define $N(c, n)$ by

$$N(c,n) = \#\Big\{\ c^n < R(c)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} R(a_ib_i)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}},\ \ c^n = a_i + b_i,\ a_i < b_i,$$

$$(a_i, b_i) = 1;\ \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}\ \Big\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N(c,n)}{\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}} = 1.$$

**Proof.** The relation $c^n < R(c)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} R(a_ib_i)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$ can also be written as

$$R(c)^{1-\varepsilon} c^{n(1+\varepsilon)} < R(ca_ib_i),\ \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand since $c^n = a_i + b_i$, we have

$$R(ca_ib_i) = R(c)R(a_ib_i) < R(c)c^{2n},\ \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\phi(c^n)}{2} \qquad (3).$$

By definition therefore of $N(c, n)$, in the product

$$\Big( \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}} R(ca_ib_i) \Big)^{\frac{2}{\phi(c^n)}},$$

$N(c, n)$ factors, in some order, are greater than $R(c)^{1-\varepsilon} c^{n(1+\varepsilon)}$ because of (2), but are smaller than $R(c)c^2$ because of (3). The remaining $\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2} - N(c, n)$ factors, according to same definition of $N(c, n)$, are all smaller than $R(c)^{1-\varepsilon} c^{n(1+\varepsilon)}$.

In view of this and (1) it follows that

$$\kappa_\varepsilon R(c)^{1-\varepsilon} c^{2n} < \big(R(c)c^{2n}\big)^{N(c,n)\frac{2}{\phi(c^n)}}\ \big(R(c)^{1-\varepsilon} c^{n(1+\varepsilon)}\big)^{1-N(c,n)\frac{2}{\phi(c^n)}},$$

which, after making the necessary calculations in the exponents, can be written as

$$\kappa_\varepsilon c^{n(1-\varepsilon)} < \left(R(c)^\varepsilon\, c^{n(1-\varepsilon)}\right)^{N(c,n)\frac{2}{\phi(c^n)}},$$

or by, taking logarithms

$$\log\kappa_\varepsilon + n(1-\varepsilon)\log c < (\varepsilon\log R(c) + n(1-\varepsilon)\log c)N(c,n)\frac{2}{\phi(c^n)}.$$

Dividing by $\varepsilon\log R(c) + n(1-\varepsilon)\log c > 0$ and putting $c^n\frac{Q(c)}{R(c)}$ for $\phi(c^n)$ we have,

$$\frac{\log\kappa_\varepsilon + n(1-\varepsilon)\log c}{\varepsilon\log R(c) + n(1-\varepsilon)\log c} < N(c,n)\frac{2}{c^n\frac{Q(c)}{R(c)}} \leq 1,$$

which, for $n \to \infty$, gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(c,n)}{\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}} = 1,$$

or

$$N(c,n) = \frac{\phi(c^n)}{2} + o\Big(\frac{\phi(c^n)}{2}\Big), \ \ n \to \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

## 3 Note

The meaning of above Theorem is that almost all abc-equations $c^n = a + b$ satisfy, for any given $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, the relation $c^n < R(c)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}\, R(ab)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}$.

The method used to prove it, can be applied verbatim to the abc-equation

$$c^r = a^p + b^q,$$

to show that the corresponding $N(c,r,p,q)$ is $\frac{\phi(c^r)}{2} + o\Big(\frac{\phi(c^r)}{2}\Big)$, for $r \to \infty$.

We wish to thank Peter Krikelis, Dep. of Mathematics,University of Athens, for his help.

## References

[1] Petridi, C.M., The number of equations satisfying the abc-conjecture, arXiv:0904.1935 v1

[2] Petridi, C.M., A strong "abc-conjecture" for certain partitions $a + b$ of $c$, arXiv:math/0511224 v3